

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/697,615	10/29/2003	Kenneth E. Trueba	10010217-3	9627
22879 7	590 07/28/2005		EXAM	INER
HEWLETT PACKARD COMPANY			MENDOZA, MICHAEL G	
P O BOX 2724	100, 3404 E. HARMON	Y ROAD		
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
FORT COLLINS. CO 80527-2400			3731	

DATE MAILED: 07/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1		ϵ
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Commons	10/697,615	TRUEBA, KENNETH E.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
TI MANUAL DATE At this accomplication and	Michael G. Mendoza	3731
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 M 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-30 and 32-57 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-30 and 32-57 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	vn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and all accomposed are all accomposed and accomposed are all accomposed and accomposed are all accomposed are all accomposed as a second are all all accomposed are all accomposed are all accomposed are all accomposed are all all accomposed are all	epted or b) objected to by the l drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		(77.0 11.0)
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments, see pgs. 12-13, filed 16 May, with respect to the rejection(s)of claim(s) 1-30 and 32-57 under 35 U.S.C 102(b) and 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Voges 5894841.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-7, 10, 11, 13-16, 33, 36, 43, 45-47, 50-53, 56 and 57 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Voges.
- 4. Voges teaches an applicator for delivering a bioactive composition, comprising: an inkjet dispenser 14 comprising an orifice 15, the inkjet dispenser further including a main body (14 + 15); a replaceable fluid reservoir 10, the replaceable fluid reservoir at least partially insertable through the body (11 + 12); a body orifice spacer 5; wherein the applicator is an inhaler; wherein the applicator is a pulmonary inhaler; wherein the inkjet dispenser is a piezoelectric droplet inkjet dispenser; wherein the spacer is external to the body (figs. 1, 2, & 4); wherein the inkjet dispenser is adapted to dispense droplets of the bioactive composition sized for respiratory inhalation; wherein the inkjet dispenser is

Art Unit: 3731

adapted to dispense droplets of the bioactive composition sized for delivery to bronchial airways (col. 3, line 62-col. 4, line 33); wherein the spacer is a mouthpiece spacer or a nasal spacer and the inkjet dispenser is disposed within the spacer (fig. 2); a fluid conduit extending between the fluid reservoir and the inkjet dispenser (fig. 2), the fluid conduit adapted to deliver the bioactive composition from the fluid reservoir to the inkjet dispenser, the fluid conduit extending at least partially through the spacer; wherein the spacer is adapted to change a delivery direction (fig. 4); wherein the inkjet dispenser is a thermal droplet inkjet dispenser (col. 3, line 62-col. 4, line 33); a programmable controller 16 adapted to control the inkjet dispenser; and wherein the programmable controller is a microprocessor (col. 6, lines 45-50).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 8, 9, 12, 19-30, 32, 34, 35, 44, 48, and 49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Voges in view of Makiej, Jr. 5002048.
- 7. Voges teaches the inhaler as in the above rejected claims. It should be noted that Voges fails to teach multiple replaceable fluid reservoirs; wherein the reservoirs hold and deliver two or more bioactive compositions.
- 8. Makiej, Jr. teaches a common inhaler comprising multiple replaceable fluid reservoirs for administering medication from multiple aerosol containers (col. 1, lines 52-

54). Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the inhaler of Voges to include the fluid reservoirs of Makiej, Jr. to provide an inhalation device that facilitates administration of complementary medications (col. 1, lines 58-60).

Page 4

- Furthermore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at 9. the time the invention was made to use multiple reservoirs, since it has been held that mere duplication of essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. St. Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co., 193 USPQ 8.
- As to claims 28 and 29, Voges/Makiej teaches a controller. The Applicant has 10. not positively claimed a program associated with the controller, computer, microprocessor to perform the fuction as recited. Therefore, the controller, of Voges/Makiei is capable or programmable to perform the functional limitation associated with the controller as recited in claims 28 and 29.
- Claims 17, 18, 37-42, 54, and 55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being 11. unpatentable over Voges in view of McKinnon et al. 6190326.
- Voges teaches the inhaler as in the above rejected claims. It should be noted 12. that Voges fails to teach wherein the controller is programmable from a remote computer and the controller is programmable from a keypad mounted on an external surface.
- McKinnon et al. teaches a common controller for accurately collecting information 13. about a patient's respirator condition (col. 1 lines, 41-43). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the controller of Voges to include the

Application/Control Number: 10/697,615 Page 5

Art Unit: 3731

remote computer 18 and keypad 52 of McKinnon et al. to allow entry of the specifics of a treatment plan a physician (col. 5, lines 26-28).

Contacts

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael G. Mendoza whose telephone number is (571) 272-4698. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 8:00 a.m. - 5:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anh Tuan Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-44963. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

WVV

MM

GLENN K. DAWSON PRIMARY EVANADOR